



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/049,464 | 06/18/2002 | Thomas Huenig | ALBRE 23 | 3876 |
| 23599 75 | 90 03/09/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. | | | OUSPENSKI, ILIA I | |
| 2200 CLAREN | DON BLVD. | | | |
| SUITE 1400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | | 1644 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/049,464 | HUENIG, THOMAS | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | ILIA OUSPENSKI | 1644 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have | | | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the control of the shortened standard in the control of the shortened standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | |
| | but prior to the data of filing a brio | f will not be entered because | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauting appeal; and/or | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | jected claims. | | | | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ovided below or appended. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | PHU | Mochander | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | DUILLID CAM | וסבו פטויה אין | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-15 and 19-24</u> . | PRIMARY E | KAMINER | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | (E | 1600/161 | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected to | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The added claim limitation fails to distinguish the claimed subject matter over the prior art of record, because the teachings of June et al. are not limited to situations wherein T lymphocute proliferation is stimulated with CD3-specific antibodies. See for example column 2 lines 26 - 29.

It is also noted with regard to the rejection of record under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, that while Applicant's amendment has addressed the issue of antecedent basis of claim 23, it has created a similar issue in claim 12.